

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 11/20/2000 Teuvo Olavi Venalainen 991.1145 5980 09/701,122

21831

7590

01/13/2003

STEINBERG & RASKIN, P.C. 1140 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 15th FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-5803

**EXAMINER** 

REIS, TRAVIS M

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

2859

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| M | 0 |
|---|---|
|   |   |

|   |   | r`  |   |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |
| Advisory Action   | 09/701,122  | VENALAINEN, TEUV  | O OLAVI   |
| nance, near   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |
|   | Travis M Reis   | 2859  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication  | appears on the cover sheet wi   | th the correspondence addr  | ess   |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS 7. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114  | er: (1) a timely filed amendment<br>opeal (with appeal fee); or (3)   | application. A proper reply nt which places the application   | ion in  |
| PERIOD FOI  | R REPLY [check either a) or t   | p)]   |   |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponents on the control of | this Advisory Action, or (2) the date kpire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH. The date on which the petition underiod of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period to Office later than three months after | ne mailing date of the final rejection IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S  er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriating amount of the fee. The appropriating amount of the fee. The final C | n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 December 2</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37   |   |   | forth in  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered  | ed because:   |   |   |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require f  | urther consideration and/or se  | earch (see NOTE below);   |   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No  | ote below);   |   |   |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or  | ion in better form for appeal b   | y materially reducing or sim  | plifying the  |
| (d) they present additional claims without car  | nceling a corresponding numl  | per of finally rejected claims  | ı.  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |   |   |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re  | ejection(s):  |   |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | ould be allowable if submitted  | in a separate, timely filed a   | mendment  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reques application in condition for allowance because  |   | n considered but does NOT   | place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | because it is not directed SO   | LELY to issues which were   | newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim  |   |   | nd an   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo   | ws:   |   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-18</u> .  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |   |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on   | _ is a)  approved or b) □   | disapproved by the Examin   | er.   |

1000

Diego Gutierrez

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations added to claims 1 & 11, i.e. "wherein said first arm part is connected to sadid articulation by a connection assembly including a plurality of spaced holes each of the holes corresponding to a selected angular position and ball means for receipt within a selected one of said plurality of holes for locking said first arm in a selected angular position so that said first arm part can be moved to a plurality of selected angular positions and locked in a desired selected angular position, and wherein said second arm part is connected to said first arm part by a connection assembly including a plurality of spaced holes each of the holes corresponding to a selected rotary position and ball means for receipt within said a selected one of said plurality of holes for locking said second arm part in a selected rotary position so that said second arm can be rotated with respect to said first arm and locked in a desired selected rotary position" raise new issues since these new limitations were not present in the finally rejected claims.